(4)

	1111.	1112.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Vrischigam	61— 0— 8	42—11—4
Dhanu	111— 3— 2	15— 6—7
Makaram	2,062—10—10	1,413—14—5

(5) One Mathew.

(6) He was officiating as bill-collector for 3 months from 2nd Vrischigam 1112 and he was quite new to the place.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon *(Chittur Rural).*—Will the Government be pleased to reconsider the admissibility of appointing persons who know nothing about the locality and retransfer the power of such appointments to the municipality?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government).*—So far as the appointment of Bill Collectors are concerned they are made by the Staff Selection Board itself and in such cases as far as possible only persons within the Municipal areas are selected. In this case, it so happened that Mathew was selected. Probably there was nobody then available from that place.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon *(Chittur Rural).*—It will be found from the answer that this Bill Collector was able to collect only very paltry amounts from a Municipality which has ever been known for its readiness with which it used to pay taxes.

Bifurcation—Tattamangalam from the Chittur Municipality.

109. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi).*—Will the Government be pleased to answer the following:—

(1) has the Government received any representation from the people of Tattamangalam that they should be separated from the Chittur-Tattamangalam Municipality;

(2) has the Government decided on separation;

(3) if decided, on what basis;

(4) have the people of Tattamangalam complained in their memorial to His Highness that Tattamangalam portion of the Town is not adequately attended to by the Municipality;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(5) has the Government examined this question; what is the Goverment's finding on this complaint; if they have not examined, why not;

(6) if the Government has decided on the bifurcation, is the Government prepared to contribute expenses on the Tattamangalam portion; if so, to what extent;

(7) has the Government examined the various grounds for bifurcation mentioned in the memorial by the Tattamangalam people; if so, what are the findings on each of them;

(8) is there any reason, other than the road connecting Chittur and Tattamangalam and the intervening area which induces the Government to consider the question of separation;

(9) have not dwelling houses sprung up and increased in number in the intevening space after the constitution of the Chittur-Tattamangalam Municipality;

(10) is not the Chittur Taluk separated from the main part of the State and only one long road, miles long, running through British territory connecting the same;

(11) is not Nemmara portion of the Chittur Taluk separated from the Chittur portion;

(12) does the Government find any inconvenience for the purpose of administration in view of the sub-divisions (10) and (11)?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government)*.—

(1) Yes.

(2) No.

(3) Does not arise.

(4) Yes.

(5) to (8) The matter is under the consideration of Government.

(9) Yes.

(10) Yes.

(11) Yes.

(12) No serious inconvenience for administration.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar *(Nellayi)*.—Since a large number of dwelling places have sprung up in the intervening space and it has almost become a compact whole, will

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

it not be found inconvenient and more expensive if the two places are separated?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government.)*— The answer has already been given 'the matter is now under consideration of Government.'

Dr. A. R. Menon *(Pallippuram).*—Is it not a fact that almost all the Tattamangalam people demanded a separation from Chittur?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government)*—It is a fact.

Dr. A. R. Menon *(Pallippuram).*—Is it also not a fact that those represented Chittur section of the Municipality at the deputation agreed to the separation and agreed to the separation by saying that they would not stand in the way if the Tattamangalam people want a separate Municipality?

Mr. President.—Yes.

Dr. A. R. Menon *(Pallippuram).*—Is it not the duty of the Government if possible, to act according to the wishes of the people in matters of this kind?

Mr. President.—That we are considering.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Wadakkancheri).*—With regard to sub-division (4) when the first request was made?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government).*—I think the first request was made when they presented an address to His Highness the Maharaja when His Highness visited Chittur. Subsequently there was a memorial also from the representatives of Chittur presented to the Diwan while the Diwan was camping at Chittur. Even before all these, I think this request has been going on for some time.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon *(Chittur Rural).*—Not for separation.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Wadakkancheri).*—It is said that the first request was made in the form of an address to His Highness, and I know that His Highness has been pleased to visit that part of the State, in Kanni 1111, i. e., two years back. Now I ask how is it that the consideration is kept pending for these two long years? What are the difficulties for Government for coming to a conclusion on that point?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government).*—Action is being taken, statistics are being collected and representations are being heard. The Government referred the matter to the Municipality for certain information and the reply was received only a few days back.

Mr. President.—The Government are anxious to give effect to the wishes of the people of Tattamangalam.

Maximum of cash and stamp balances—Anchal Offices—Particulars regarding.

110. **Mr. T. C. Iyyukutty** *(Pazhanji).*—Will the Government be pleased to State :—

(a) the maximum of cash and stamp balances sanctioned to be retained in the following Anchal Offices;

1. Nallepilly, 2. Tattamangalam, 3. Andikadavu, 4. Ayyampilly, 5. Mulanthuruthi, 6. Adur, 7. Kallettumkara, 8. Kattur, 9. Triprayar, 10. Chelakkara, 11. Cheruthuruthi, 12. Erumapetty, 13. Palayannur, 14. Thiruvilwamala, 15. Palanji.

(b) of the above mentioned Anchal Offices, which are the Branch offices;

(c) the pay of the Anchal-masters of the above Anchal Offices;

(d) the income and expenditure of the above offices for the year 1112?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government).*—(a), (b), (c) and (d) Vide statement appended. *(Appendix III).*

Mr. T. C. Iyyukutty *(Pazhanji).*—From the appendix, we find that among the 15 Anchal Offices, 14 are sub-offices and only one branch office. Will the Government seriously consider this question, and try to get it converted into a sub-office?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government).*—I think on another occasion on behalf of the Government I stated that the Government have under consideration whether it should not be necessary to convert some of these sub-offices into branch offices. It would be impossible to convert a branch Anchal office into a sub-office simply because its income happens

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly